IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT JUNEAU

Attention Superior Court Judge Philip Pallenberg

DAVID HAEG, Appellant,	)
	)
v.	)
	) Court of Appeals No. **A-13501**
STATE OF ALASKA, Appellee.	)
	)
	)
Trial Court No. **3KN-10-01295 CI**	

2-26-20 MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Facts

In 2004 the State of Alaska approached me (as a master big game guide and commercial bush pilot) and claimed it needed my expertise to ensure the success of their controversial Wolf Control Program. State officials gave me a permit to shoot wolves from the air, told me where to shoot them, and then prosecuted and convicted me of shooting wolves inside my guide area to benefit my guide business - even though the state's own GPS coordinates proved this was false and proved I shot them specifically where the state told me to. The resulting two-year prison sentence, airplane forfeiture, fines, and revocation of my guide license destroyed the business which was the sole means by which my wife Jackie and I provided for our two baby daughters.

Decades of appeals, remands, and post-conviction relief proceedings followed – during which time evidence came to light that my own highly paid private defense attorneys, Brent Cole and Arthur Robinson, had conspired with the Department of Law, State Troopers, and my trial judge to frame me and take hundreds of thousands of dollars of cash and assets for their own. Further, evidence has come to light that agencies supposed to address this problem (Bar Association, Trooper Internal Affairs, Commission of Judicial Conduct, appeals judges, etc.) are covering up instead of investigating and prosecuting. Third District Presiding Judge William Morse is the most recently implicated.

On 2-20-20 the Alaska Court of Appeals remanded my case to Superior Court Judge Philip Pallenberg for further proceedings concerning Judge Morse's violation of AS 22.20.020.

As long-time Alaskan attorney Dale Dolifka testified after reviewing all the evidence:

"Your case has shades of Selma in the 60's, where judges, sheriffs, & even assigned lawyers were all in cahoots together. You have an appeals court sitting there looking at a pile of dung & if they do right by you & reveal you know you have the attorneys going down, you have the judges going down, you have the troopers going down. I walked over here & attorney A says 'My god they're violating every appeal rule ever. How can it be like this?' It's absolute, unadulterated, self-bred corruption that will get worse until the sleeping giant wakes up." [R.1970-86]

Because of forgoing I respectfully ask that an evidentiary hearing be conducted in the remand you are presiding over. I am attaching recent documents filed in this case that further explain my reasons for asking for an evidentiary hearing. In addition, I will be unavailable until March 13, 2020 – as I will be out-of-state attending my oldest daughter's *summa cum laude* college graduation celebration.

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I, David S. Haeg, declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true & correct.



Executed at Browns Lake, Alaska on February 26, 2020

David S. Haeg
PO Box 123
Soldotna, Alaska 99669
(907) 262-9249 home; (907) 398-6403 cell; haeg@alaska.net; and Facebook

Certificate of Service: I certify that on February 26, 2020 a copy of the forgoing was served by mail to: AAG Soderstrom. By: 

Everyone please forward this on to all possible, by any means. It may be published and/or reproduced freely anywhere. If you want to donate money to help stop this corruption, we set up a PayPal account linked to our email (haeg@alaska.net) and cell (907) 398-6403.

Further details on the how this corruption works, who is involved, and the laws they are breaking, can be found in my Opening and Reply briefs in the box "2019 and 2020 Important Information" at www.alaskastateofcorruption.com

Please seek out grand jury service (when you get a jury notice you may request grand jury service) and if selected, present the evidence above to your fellow grand jurors and ask they investigate. You have a legal right to do so even in the face of opposition from the Department of Law or judges. Ask your legislators to call for an independent and public grand jury investigation. Information on the power of Alaska's grand jury is best found in the **Alaska Grand Jury Handbook** (Alaska Court System form **J-185** – pages 24-28) and "**The Investigative Grand Jury in Alaska**" – pages 9-24. You can find this report on the internet – just google it.

Please follow this on Facebook page "*Alaska, State of Corruption*" and group "*Stop Alaska's Judicial Corruption*". Most physical evidence proving the corruption is on the website "*www.alaskastateofcorruption.com*", YouTube, and Facebook in "#1 EVIDENCE PACKET", "#2 EVIDENCE PACKET", etc., etc.

FILED
STATE OF ALASKA
APPELLATE COURTS
2020 FEB 24 PM 3
APPELLATE COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

DAVID HAEG, Appellant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	Court of Appeals No. **A-13501**
STATE OF ALASKA, Appellee.	)	
	)	
	)	
Trial Court No. **3KN-10-01295 CI**		

2-22-20 EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE FRAUDULENT ORDER

Facts

In 2004 the State of Alaska approached me (as a master big game guide and commercial bush pilot) and claimed it needed my expertise to ensure the success of their controversial Wolf Control Program. State officials gave me a permit to shoot wolves from the air, told me where to shoot them, and then prosecuted and convicted me of shooting wolves inside my guide area to benefit my guide business - even though the state's own GPS coordinates proved this was false and proved I shot them specifically where the state told me to. The resulting two-year prison sentence, airplane forfeiture, fines, and revocation of my guide license destroyed the business which was the sole means by which my wife Jackie and I provided for our two baby daughters.

Decades of appeals, remands, and post-conviction relief proceedings followed – during which time evidence came to light that my own highly paid private defense attorneys, Brent Cole and Arthur Robinson, had conspired with the Department of Law, State Troopers, and my trial judge to frame me and take hundreds of thousands of dollars of cash and assets for their own. Further, evidence has come to light that agencies supposed to address this problem (Bar Association, Trooper Internal Affairs, Commission of Judicial Conduct, appeals judges, etc.) are covering up instead of investigating and prosecuting.

As long-time Alaskan attorney Dale Dolifka testified after reviewing all the evidence:

"Your case has shades of Selma in the 60's, where judges, sheriffs, & even assigned lawyers were all in cahoots together. You have an appeals court sitting there looking at a pile of dung & if they do right by you & reveal you know you have the attorneys going down, you have the judges going down, you have the troopers going down. I walked over here & attorney A says 'My god they're violating every appeal rule ever. How can it be like this?' It's absolute, unadulterated, self-bred corruption that will get worse until the sleeping giant wakes up." [R.1970-86]

On 9-13-19 I paid money to appeal, among other things, the issue of Judge William Morse breaking the law (**AS 22.20.020**) by not having his refusal to recuse himself reviewed by an independent judge. As this court of appeals ruled:

"*Under Alaska law, when a judge denies a motion to recuse, the judge's decision is automatically subject to immediate review by the next highest court. See **AS 22.20.020(c)**"*

Before decisions on my appeal issues are made, my money, and the **Alaska Rules of Court**, guarantee me an opening brief, a reply brief, and the oral arguments I requested. (See **Appellate Rule 505**.) My reason to disqualify Judge Morse was that 9 months after assigning himself to my case, as my trial attorney Robinson was walking to the witness stand for me to question him about his sellout of my family, Judge Morse informed me that he and Robinson go out drinking beer together. Alaska law and Judicial Canon required Judge Morse to have informed me of his friendship with Robinson 9 months earlier – when he assigned himself to my case which directly implicated Robinson in felony corruption and obstruction of justice. No one will believe Judge Morse forgot he was drinking buddies with Robinson for 9 months and only remembered when Robinson was walking up to the witness stand.

AS 22.20.020 (b) *A judicial officer shall disclose, on the record, a reason for disqualification ...at the commencement of a matter in which the judicial officer participates.*

Alaska Code of Judicial Conduct: Canon 3. A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently. E. Disqualification. *Unless all grounds of disqualification are waived as permitted by Section 3F, a judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might be reasonably questioned...***Commentary.** *A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification....as soon as practicable.*

Adding to the stench of corruption is the fact that many months before admitting to his friendship with Robinson, Judge Morse issued a written order that I could not depose Robinson because Robinson was "*deceased*" – when in fact Robinson was, and still is, alive and well. This

severely crippled my ability to prove Robinson had used his 30 years of legal experience, and my family's trust (plus the $30,000 we paid him), to sell us out without us realizing it until after our life had been destroyed. It is clear Judge Morse issued this false order to protect his friend Robinson.

Judge Morse also corruptly moved hearings to Anchorage when it is a Kenai case and most witnesses and myself live on the Kenai – adding very great expense – as we even had to charter helicopters and fixed wing aircraft to get to hearings when avalanches closed the road north of Girdwood. Then, he limited my evidentiary hearing to about one fifteenth of the time I requested – requiring me to eliminate numerous witnesses, questions, and evidence.

Further proof Judge Morse is corrupt is that he had me illegally tased 10 times (see ***United States v. Nalley***, No. 16-0023-WGC (D. Md. Mar. 31, 2016) "***Former Maryland Circuit Court Judge Pleads Guilty to Civil Rights Violation***"), and then imprisoned, to stop me from presenting: (1) the map used against me at trial; (2) the tape-recording of District Attorney Scot Leaders and Trooper Brett Gibbens discussing, *before trial*, how they had falsified the map so they could convict me, sentence me to years in prison, and destroy the business my wife Jackie and I depended on to feed our two daughters; (3) testimony from state witnesses who were present when DA Leaders and Trooper Gibbens were discussing, prior to trial, their felony trial evidence falsification; (4) proof that my trial judge was corrupt; and (5) proof that Marla Greenstein, the only investigator of Alaska state judges for the past 30 years, is falsifying official investigations to exonerate corrupt judges.

When the state failed to provide the officially requested discovery on my tasing, I filed, on February 21, 2019, a motion with Judge Morse to order the state to provide complete discovery as to my tasing. To date, Judge Morse has failed to rule on my motion - but has continued to file sworn pay affidavits every two weeks that he has decided every motion given to him for a decision within the last six months. (See **AS 22.10.190**) In other words, Judge Morse has falsified about 10 sworn affidavits so far – which has kept me from obtaining evidence that would help me prove I was illegally and unconstitutionally tased.

Copies of the foregoing evidence of corruption can be found on the website "*www.alaskastateofcorruption.com*", in the box "*2019 Important Information*".

Additional proof I was illegally tased: at the hearing after the one in which I was tased, when I again tried to present the evidence of corruption in # 1-4 above (expecting to be tased again and/or shot

for trying to do so), Judge Morse reversed his original order that I could not present the evidence, and allowed me to present it without having me tased and imprisoned for trying to do so. No doubt because of the 2.5 million people who watched my illegal tasing on YouTube and Facebook page "*Alaska, State of Corruption*". Only because I was willing to fight for my legal right to present the evidence, including being tased/imprisoned for trying to present it at the first hearing and risking being tased/shot as I presented it at the second hearing, was I able to do so – proving I was illegally tased the first time for trying to present it. At the end of this motion I will attach the official transcript of the evidence and witness testimony – the proof of felony crimes and cover up by senior government officials is shocking. Part of my trial attorney Robinson's testimony:

"Since I was not provided a map copy, so I could check it for accuracy, I cannot be blamed for the jury's use of this map to convict Mr. Haeg and I cannot be blamed for Judge Murphy's use of the map's falsified GMU 19-C/19-D boundaries to sentence Mr. Haeg. Since I was not provided a tape-recording copy prior to trial or during trial, I did not know there was evidence of an intent to falsify the location of where the wolves were taken. Because of Mr. Leaders failure to abide by my discovery request this evidence was withheld and I only found out about it many years after trial." [R.3145 & 3170]

When I asked what he would have done had DA Leaders provided the required discovery:

"I would have argued you didn't get a fair trial because they were using false evidence to convict you. I could have proved they were intentionally lying at trial. And you would have had evidence of their motive to do so." [R.3145 & 3170]

On 2-20-20 this Court of Appeals issued an order remanding, "*on an expedited basis*", to the superior court, my appeal issue of Judge Morse violating Alaska law (**AS 22.20.020**) – in spite of the fact my guaranteed oral arguments have yet to be held. In other words, this court is now trying to preemptively and corruptly fix the issues I am appealing – before I am done making my appeal – all to make sure this court can justify not overturning my conviction when I am finished appealing. Many courts have ruled errors, when considered individually, would not require a conviction be overturned – but have ruled the same errors, when considered together, prove it must be overturned. And you did this after I informed you in writing, on 1-20-20, I would be out of state during this time - attending a family celebration for our oldest daughter graduating college *summa cum laude*. After DA Leaders, Trooper Gibbens, and my own attorneys framed me, sentenced me to years in prison, and destroyed my

family's business, this is the first time in over a decade we have been able to afford something like this. So you scheduled a hearing critical to my appeal during a time when you affirmatively knew it would devastate my family.

Maybe the greatest stench of corruption in your order is what you told the superior court: that I protested Judge Morse and Robinson's "*professional association*". I protested that Judge Morse admitted, on the record, that he and Robinson go out drinking beer together – and that this "*private*" friendship required Judge Morse to be disqualified. I also protested that Judge Morse and Robinson reinforced the fact they have a "*private*" friendship by joking, on the record, about a sports rivalry they have. Non-attorneys reading this may think I am making a mountain out of a mole hill here. But Alaska caselaw is crystal clear: "*professional*" relationships do not require disqualification, but "*private*" relationships do. The reason is simple. In small towns with one judge and few lawyers/law enforcement, the judge would never be able to hear a case because he or she would very soon have a "*professional*" relationship with every lawyer and officer appearing before him/her. Thus, all Alaska caselaw holds that only "*private*" relationships require a judge's disqualification. So this court has outright lied in another official document to build the case that Judge Morse breaking the law was "*harmless error*" – that he would not have been disqualified even if the law had been followed – because his relationship with Robinson was "*professional*" instead of "*private*":

Phillips v. State 271 P.3d (AK 2012) *"[W]hen a question arises as to whether a judge's acquaintance or friendship with a particular person requires the judge's disqualification, the answer must ultimately turn on the specific facts of the case - in particular,* ***the precise nature of the judge's relationship with that person, and the way in which that person is connected to the litigation.*** *Although Phillips asserts that Judge Aarseth's relationship with K.M.'s sister Sara exceeded mere social acquaintance or social friendship, the record does not support this assertion. According to the record,* ***Judge Aarseth had very limited contact with Sara.*** *The primary relationship here was between Sara and Judge Aarseth's wife. Moreover, that relationship appears to have been the kind of social friendship that one might expect between two women who live in the same neighborhood and who are the primary caretakers of children of similar ages. In Barrett v. Barrett, the question was whether a trial judge should be disqualified because of the judge's acquaintance with a potential witness — a woman who worked at the eye care office where the judge was a patient. The supreme court noted that the judge's contact with this potential witness was limited, and that* ***their relationship was a professional one****. Moreover, this woman* ***was not actually called to testify; thus, nothing in the ultimate decision of the case hinged on any matter within this witness's knowledge.****"*

When I called to inquire when and where the remand hearing would take place, and who the judge was that would conduct it, the clerks for this court were unable to give me any information.

Ten years ago, I filed to disqualify Judge Margaret Murphy from my post-conviction relief proceeding because of her eating with and being chauffeured full time by the main witness against me (Trooper Gibbens) while she presided over my trial – at which time she removed the evidence that would have exonerated me from the official court record before my jury could see it. This is proven by the fact that the court record was in Judge Murphy's possession at the time and the evidence's cover letter remains in the court record to this day while the evidence itself is gone. (Judge Murphy flew into McGrath, population 300, to conduct my trial in the Iditarod Sled Dog Race checkpoint building and Trooper Gibbens took care of all her needs – so she paid him back by destroying the evidence that would prove I was innocent.) "*Immediately and automatically*" after Judge Murphy denied my motion and refused to recuse herself, Superior Court Judge Stephanie Joannides was assigned to review Judge Murphy's denial – without me doing or requesting anything. Judge Joannides asked me to submit written documentation on why Judge Murphy should be disqualified and scheduled a 2-day evidentiary hearing so I could also present witness testimony and physical evidence. After receiving my evidence, Judge Joannides reversed Judge Murphy's denial and issued an order that Judge Murphy could not preside over my case. Further, Judge Joannides certified as true the evidence that Judge Murphy had committed perjury to cover up her corrupt relationship with Trooper Gibbens (Judge Murphy swore out an affidavit that she never rode with Trooper Gibbens while she presided over my case – while the official court tape-recording of my trial captured Judge Murphy and Trooper Gibbens joking about the rides he was giving her while she presided over my case). In addition, Judge Joannides certified as true the evidence that 30-year sole judge investigator Marla Greenstein falsified an official investigation to cover up Judge Murphy's and Trooper Gibbens' corruption. (Greenstein testified she had contacted all four witnesses to Judge Murphy's and Trooper Gibbens' corrupt relationship – while all four witnesses later swore out affidavits that Greenstein had never contacted them and had in fact falsified the testimony they would have given had Greenstein contacted them. In addition, Greenstein refused to provide her "*investigative report*" to Judge Joannides as Judge Joannides ordered Greenstein to do.)

When I filed an official Bar complaint against Greenstein (an attorney) she wrote a certified document stating that she had not only contacted witnesses above, she had also contacted my trial attorney Robinson about Judge Murphy riding around with Trooper Gibbens. Yet Robinson responded to this by testifying under oath that Greenstein had never contacted him either. (See Robinson's testimony, below.)

Discussion

It is clear that Judge Morse, in violation of Alaska law and Judicial Canon (see above), assigned himself to my case so he could protect his friend Robinson. It is clear that Judge Morse, to protect Robinson, issued the corrupt order that I could not depose Robinson because he was deceased. It is clear that, in violation of Alaska law and Judicial Canon (see above), Judge Morse waited 9 months, and literally seconds before I was to question Robinson, before informing me of his friendship with Robinson. It is clear Judge Morse did this to make sure he could conduct and manipulate the evidentiary hearing and exonerate Robinson. It is clear Judge Morse knowingly and intentionally violated **AS 20.20.020** so he could finish my entire evidentiary hearing before an independent judge, such as Judge Joannides, could remove and replace him with an honest, impartial judge. (Judge Morse stated, on the record during my evidentiary hearing, that he knew a judge's disqualification denial must be reviewed by an independent judge.) Judge Morse knew if he could - by hook or by crook - conduct, manipulate, and decide the results of the evidentiary hearing, this court would step in to cover up what he had done – exactly as this court has done to cover up other things in the past. Everyone, including those in the legal community, agrees that a judge reviewing Judge Morse's refusal *after* he conducted my evidentiary hearing would be far less likely to remove Judge Morse – for that would mean all the resources, time, and money spent on the evidentiary hearing were wasted and have to be redone – tens of thousands in wasted court personnel time, witness subpoenas, lost work for witnesses, hotels, airplane and helicopter charters, videographers, etc, etc, etc.

It is wrong - and fraudulent - for this court to preemptively issue an order on my appeal claims prior to my being allowed to finish the legal process I paid for, and am guaranteed, to make an effective appeal. It is wrong for this court to schedule hearings in my appeal during a time in which you were given prior written notice I would not be available. It is illegal for this court, in an official written

document, to falsify my written claims to make sure the judge you are assigning the hearing to will be forced to rule that Judge Morse's crime was "*harmless error*". It is wrong for me not to be told the when, where, and by whom this hearing will be conducted - so I can present the judge the evidence against Judge Morse (as Judge Joannides allowed in Judge Murphy's case) – including evidence that this court outright lied about why I asked Judge Morse to be disqualified. It is clear you did this to make sure the judge conducting the hearing will be required to exonerate Judge Morse. Both the United States and Alaska Constitutions were written to prevent such "*Star Chamber*" proceedings. Other provably corrupt acts this court of appeals has taken to cover up felony acts by government officials:

1. You ruled I didn't give enough briefing to be able to present evidence and have an evidentiary hearing on the corruption of DA Leaders, Trooper Gibbens, Judge Murphy, judge investigator Greenstein, and my own attorneys [R.3390-439], while ruling I gave enough briefing on the issue of Robinson not protesting Judge Murphy's use of the false evidence to sentence me. [R.3390-439] I carefully counted the briefing and found I had given you 25 pages of briefing on DA Leaders conspiring with Trooper Gibbens and my attorneys to manufacture false trial evidence (not counting a copy of the tape that captured Leaders and Gibbens discussing how and why they were doing this – which I also gave this court) [R.2762-2861] and 54 pages of briefing on Greenstein falsifying official investigations to cover up for Judge Murphy and Trooper Gibbens (not counting the 77 pages of additional evidence proving this that Judge Joannides put together and certified as true – which I also gave this court) . [R.2762-2861] *On the issue of Robinson not protesting Judge Murphy's use of false evidence to sentence me, I could not find a single word of briefing to this court* [See record] - *proving this court outright lied to cover up for DA Leaders, Trooper Gibbens, Judge Murphy, and judge investigator Greenstein.*

2. You ruled that I provided no evidence of corruption in DA Leaders, Trooper Gibbens, Judge Murphy, or judge investigator Greenstein. [R.3390-439] Yet the video of my oral argument to you in 2014 [YouTube – "David Haeg vs. State of Alaska"] shows me presenting you the actual map used against me at trial (provided by the state at my request) and pointing out to you how it had been falsified to convict me. The video then captures me explaining to you how DA Leaders and Trooper Gibbens tape-recorded themselves, *prior to trial*, discussing how and why they falsified the map to convict me. The court record proves I gave you a copy of this tape-recording. [R.2339] This

is direct evidence of felony crimes and conspiracy by DA Leaders and Trooper Gibbens. The record also proves I gave you evidence, certified as true by Judge Joannides, proving that judge investigator Greenstein falsified an official investigation to cover up the corruption of Judge Murphy and Trooper Gibbens – and conspired with them to do so. [R.3718-67]

3. You ruled that Robinson did not have to force Cole to testify at my sentencing (which would have proved my own attorneys were conspiring with DA Leaders and Trooper Gibbens to frame me) because at the time of my sentencing Cole and I were *"engaged in a contentious fee arbitration"*. Yet this is indisputably false – my date-stamp fee arbitration application proves I filed fee arbitration against Cole over 5 months *after* I was sentenced. [Ex.2 & R.39922-25]

Conclusion

If nothing is done about this corrupt remand order prior to my scheduled departure date (the morning of February 26, 2020 - two days from the date I can first get this motion to you by driving the 200 miles to Anchorage to hand-deliver it to you) I am going to cancel my out-of-state airline ticket and have Jackie and our youngest daughter Cassie fly out without me. Instead of attending the celebration of my oldest daughter graduating college *summa cum laude*, I am going to travel to Anchorage and spend the entire two weeks of this celebration sitting on this court's doorstep, trying to find out the where, when, and who concerning your "*expedited*" remand – so I can attend and present the evidence proving this court falsified an official document to make sure Judge Morse will be exonerated. I will also present the other evidence proving Judge Morse's corruption and bias – such as his written order I could not depose Robinson because he was "*deceased*", when in fact Robinson is still alive and well; having me illegally tased for trying to present legally admissible evidence; etc; etc.

In between trying to locate and present evidence at the hearing, I will be facebooking, tweeting, texting, emailing, and calling legislators and radio/TV stations to inform everyone how this court scheduled a critical hearing when I had informed you, in writing, I would be out-of-state celebrating my daughter's college graduation. I will let everyone know that it appears I will not be allowed to present the above evidence at this remand hearing – and that it appears I will not even be informed of where, when, or who will conduct the hearing. I will inform everyone that after 15 years of careful research (and numerous visits to the FBI – where Section Chief Colton Seale confirmed the existence

of systemic corruption within Alaska's judicial system) we have found that there is only one effective way to deal with the above high-level, entrenched corruption: a grand jury investigation followed by indictments, long prison terms, and reports. I will inform everyone that numerous judges and state attorneys refused to give a public petition (signed by 500 Alaskans and asking for the grand jury to investigate the above) to the grand jury even though the **Alaska Grand Jury Handbook** (Alaska Court System form **J-185**, pages 24-28) requires this be done. I will inform everyone that three separate grand juries (two in Anchorage and one in Kenai) started, on their own, to investigate the above corruption of DA Leaders - and that the Department of Law and DA Leaders personally (a DOL employee), ordered the grand juries to stop investigating – directly violating what is written on pages 24-28 of the **Grand Jury Handbook** and in Alaska's constitution and law:

Alaska Constitution, Article 1, Section 8 *The power of grand juries to investigate and make recommendations concerning the public welfare or safety shall never be suspended.*

AS 12.40.030 Duty of inquiry into crimes and general powers. *The grand jury shall inquire into all crimes committed or triable within the jurisdiction of the court and present them to the court. The grand jury shall have the power to investigate and make recommendations concerning the public welfare or safety.*

AS 12.40.040 Juror to disclose knowledge of crime. *If an individual grand juror knows or has reason to believe that a crime has been committed that is triable by the court, the juror shall disclose it to the other jurors, who shall investigate it.*

I will inform everyone of the tape-recordings capturing Deputy Attorney General John Skidmore outright lying to state legislators, from both the House and Senate, to cover up that the Department of Law is illegally and unconstitutionally suspending grand jury investigations into corruption within the Department of Law. (Hear tape-recordings at www.alaskastateofcorruption.com).

I will inform everyone it seems as if We The People may have to guard the door to the grand jury room to prevent the Department of Law and DA Leaders from interfering with the constitutional duty of grand jurors to investigate, indict, and/or report on wrong-doing by our government officials.

Finally, I will ask everyone concerned about systemic corruption within Alaska's judicial system to join me in sitting on your doorstep to protest the injustice Alaska's judicial system has done, and continues to do, to helpless families across Alaska.

Evidence and Witness Testimony That Would Never Have Been Presented in an Official Evidentiary Hearing Had I Not Been Willing To Be Tased To Make Sure It Was:

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE *I, Britney E. Dudley hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 3 through 575 are a true, accurate, and complete transcript of proceedings in 3KN-10-01295CI, David Haeg vs. State of Alaska, transcribed by me, or at my direction, from a copy of the electronic sound recording to the best of my knowledge and ability.*

MR. HAEG: Okay. The -- one more question I'd like to ask Mr. Robinson kind of on this issue, is was part of Leaders' and Gibbens' case against me at trial that I was eliminating wolves in my guide area to improve my guide business?

A. Yes. [Tr. 218]

THE COURT [Judge Morse]: Mr. Zellers, will you take the stand?

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAEG: Q. Were you a trial witness for the state [Tr. 376] against me?

A. Yes.

Q. On or about June 23, 2004, did you, Prosecutor Scot Leaders and Trooper Gibbens have a meeting?

A. Yes, we did.

Q. Did Leaders and Gibbens tape record this meeting?

A. Yes.

Q. Is this -- MR. HAEG: Can I approach and have him look at this, see if it's an accurate transcript of the meeting?

THE COURT: Yeah.

Q. Does this look like an accurate transcription of that meeting? [Tr. 377]

A. This looks like the meeting.

Q. Okay. During this meeting, did Leaders and Gibbens show you an aeronautical map?

A. Yes, they did.

Q. Can I approach and see if you agree that this is a copy of what you were shown?

THE COURT: Sure.

A. This is a copy. The only thing that's slightly different is the green line on it.

Q. Okay.

THE COURT: That's Exhibit 25?

MR. HAEG: Yes, Trial Exhibit 25.

THE COURT: Hang on. Hang on just a second. When -- that thing has, for example, indications where wolves were killed?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So when they showed you this map, did the map -- was it exactly the way it is there with the wolf kills on there?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.

THE COURT: Okay. But the only thing that was not on there, and correct me if I'm wrong, is the color highlight of some kind of a boundary unit? [Tr. 378]

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: That was not there?

THE WITNESS: The boundary unit was drawn on there, but it wasn't highlighted.

THE COURT: The highlight wasn't there?

THE WITNESS: Right.

BY MR. HAEG: Q. Did Prosecutor Leaders and Trooper Gibbens tell you that I had marked the wolf kill locations on this map when they interviewed me during my plea negotiations with them?

A. Yes, they did.

Q. Did you prove to Prosecutor Leaders and Trooper Gibbens that that map had false handdrawn game management unit boundaries on it?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Did you use the Alaska Department of Fish and Game game management unit's physical description to do this?

A. I'm pretty sure I did use the -- the written description of the game management units.

Q. Okay. Is this description published in all Alaska hunting regulations?

A. Yes, it is. [Tr. 379]

Q. Can you point out to –

THE COURT: Hang on. Let me just ask a question, make sure I understand what you just said. You were shown this map, and the map had preexisting unit boundary lines marked on it; right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you looked at those lines and said that they were in error?

THE WITNESS: I looked at the lines and said they were in error. There was a discussion between Trooper Gibbens and myself about he wanted to say the wolf kills were in 19C. I said, no, they were in 19D. And I quoted the boundary line and how this was wrong, to him.

THE COURT: So you -- you told him at the time that the boundary lines shown in the map were inaccurately drawn?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

BY MR. HAEG: Q. Can you point out to the Court or me what boundary was falsified and where the correct boundaries should have been? [Tr. 380]

A. Using the map here, 19C area doesn't have what I'll just call is this toe area that encompasses and circles these wolf kills down here. So 19C's western boundary is where the Babel flows into the Swift. And then everything downstream on the Swift is actually 19D. And upstream is 19C. All the wolf kills were downstream of that point.

Q. Okay. Do the false boundaries –

THE COURT: So downstream of Swift is 19D, as in David?

THE WITNESS: 19D is downstream of where the Babel River flows into the Swift River.

MR. HAEG: And the North Fork.

THE WITNESS: And the North Fork, yes, of the Swift.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

Q. Did the false boundaries on that map corruptly make it seem as if the wolves were killed in my game management unit 19C guide area, instead of being killed in game management unit 19D?

A. Yes. [Tr. 381]

Q. Okay. Did Prosecutor Leaders and Trooper Gibbens and you discuss how I was not allowed to guide in 19D but was allowed to guide in 19C?

A. Yes, we had that discussion, so –

Q. Okay. Did Prosecutor Leaders, Trooper Gibbens and you discuss how my killing wolves in 19D would not benefit my guide business?

A. Yes, we had -- I had the discussion with the trooper that because these were killed outside your guide unit, they were not directly related to your guide, so –

Q. Did Prosecutor Leaders, Trooper Gibbens, and you discuss how my killing wolves in 19C would benefit my guide business?

A. Yes.

Q. Was the wolf control program actually taking place in 19C or 19D?

A. As I recall, there was nothing in 19C, but there were parts of 19D that had.

Q. Okay. During this meeting, did you point out to Prosecutor Leaders and Trooper Gibbens that their search warrant affidavits also falsified the wolf kill locations to my 19C guide area? [Tr. 382]

A. Yes. The affidavits listed the wolf kills in 19C. And I pointed out to them that that was incorrect information.

Q. And you may not know this, but did Prosecutor Leaders and Trooper Gibbens tell my jury that I killed the wolves in 19C area to benefit my guide business?

A. I can't testify to what, or the reason why they testified that, but Trooper Gibbens did testify under direct from -- from Prosecutor Leaders that the wolves were killed in 19C.

Q. Did Prosecutor Leaders and Trooper Gibbens [Tr. 383] use the map upon which I placed the wolf kill locations during plea negotiations against me at trial?

A. Yes.

Q. Did Prosecutor Leaders and Trooper Gibbens know the map had been falsified to support their case against me when they presented it to my jury as the reason to convict me?

A. Yes. [Tr. 384]

[Questioning of Haeg's trial attorney Arthur Robinson]

Q [MR. HAEG]. Did you file a pretrial discovery request while you represented me?

A [MR. ROBINSON]. Yeah.

Q. Was it violated?

A. In what way?

Q. Did you ask, for anything that would be used against me at trial, to be given a copy of it to you before trial?

A. I believe, Mr. Haeg, what I did in your case, as I did in all of my criminal cases, is that I sent a standard broad request to the District Attorney's Office to reveal to me any and all evidence that it had in its possession regarding the charges against you. So I sent them a letter, yeah.

Q. Okay. Is it true that they used a map against me at trial that we, you and I, never got a copy of before trial?

A. I learned that later. [Tr. 174-175]

THE COURT [JUDGE MORSE]: -- so, Mr. Robinson, did you get a transcription of this tape that supposedly shows the state and the -- the prosecutor and the trooper talking about falsification or something like that?

A. Prior to trial?

THE COURT: Ever.

A. I didn't get anything prior to trial. And most recently, probably within the last year or so, Mr. Haeg showed me a transcript of an interview that Trooper Gibbens and Scott Leaders had --

THE COURT: -- is an interview of Leaders, Gibbens, and Zeller [sic]?

A. Correct. But, I mean, I -- by the time Mr. Haeg showed that to me, I'd already retired. I retired in January --

THE COURT: Right.

A. -- 2011.

THE COURT: You may be coming back. But you got it way back when. And this is nothing that you had seen prior to trial?

A. Prior to trial, no. (Tr. 209-210)

MR. HAEG: Q. Does this recollect your -- can you read this and tell me if this is a true –

A [MR. ROBINSON]. What is it, David?

Q. It is a response, a certified response by Marla Greenstein to the Alaska Bar Association. And in it she says, in Mr. Haeg's matter, I interviewed Mr. Haeg's attorney, Arthur Robinson. Is that a true statement, Mr. Robinson?

A. I -- I was never interviewed by her. [Tr. 285]

MR. PETERSON [Assistant Attorney General]: -- So what's –

MR. HAEG: Okay. MR. PETERSON: -- the purpose of this?

MR. HAEG: This is a proof –

THE COURT: I have no idea.

MR. HAEG: -- that there was a cover-up by the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct that my judge was chauffeured by the main witness against [Tr. 286] me during my trial. And I, as an American citizen, has a constitutional right to an unbiased judge. And not only was my judge running around full-time with the main witness against me –

THE COURT: Mr. Haeg, let me help you out here.

MR. HAEG: -- the only person that investigates judges in this state falsified an official investigation. And not only did she do that, when I filed a bar complaint, she then falsified a certified document to cover up her corrupt investigation. And I want it on the record.

MR. PETERSON: So it's irrelevant, and it shouldn't be admitted.

THE COURT: It's admitted. (Exhibit 6 admitted)

MR. HAEG: It proves there was a cover-up.

THE COURT: Mr. Haeg, I'm admitting it.

MR. HAEG: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. [Tr. 287]

THE COURT: Mr. Haeg, rather than spend time convincing me that Gruenstein -- Greenstein made some sort of false allegation, it would be more helpful to your case if you put the witnesses on who saw Judge Murphy driving around with the trooper.

MR. HAEG: Okay.

THE COURT: That's the important part. Not that the judicial conduct commission is a fraudulent entity. Not that Marla is a lying –

MR. HAEG: But you –

THE COURT: -- person.

MR. HAEG: -- see, Your Honor –

THE COURT: What's important –

MR. HAEG: -- you -- what you –

THE COURT: -- for your case in this hearing is for you to prove that, in fact, Judge Murphy drove around with the trooper. So if you have witnesses of that, those are more important witnesses.

MR. HAEG: What I believe –

THE COURT: But your –

MR. HAEG: -- is more important –

THE COURT: But –

MR. HAEG: -- for the citizens of this state to know that the only investigator of judges for the past 30 years, and that's investigator of you –

THE COURT: Mr. Haeg.

MR. HAEG: -- and every other judge in this state –

THE COURT: Mr. Haeg.

MR. HAEG: -- is falsifying –

THE COURT: Mr. Haeg.

MR. HAEG: -- investigations to cover up for corrupt judges. [Tr. 289-290]

[Questioning of 35-year Alaskan attorney Dale Dolifka]

MR. HAEG: Q. I'd just like to say thank you for coming, Mr. Dolifka. After what happened in my case with Brent Cole and Chuck Robinson, did you start reading documents in my case and became so confused and concerned that you contacted Judge Hanson?

A. That's true.

Q. And what did you and Mr. Hanson talk about?

A. Well, your case. I was very puzzled. And I had total faith in him. He had been my mentor as a superior court judge. He was appalled, and he was disgusted, and he was confused, which left me...

THE COURT: Do you have any cross-examination?

MR. PETERSON: I do. Q. So, Mr. Dolifka, you just said there was a question about the outrageous process with which Mr. Haeg was prosecuted. What was outrageous about it?

A. Well, you've got to remember my state of mind during this whole process. That was a very dark time on the Kenai Peninsula. And a lot of my concern with Haeg's cases was a concern for everything that was going on down there. And I know that's irrelevant, but that would answer that question. It was not just David Haeg's case. [Tr. 440-442]

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I, David S. Haeg, declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true & correct.

Executed at Browns Lake, Alaska on February 24, 2020

David S. Haeg
PO Box 123
Soldotna, Alaska 99669
(907) 262-9249 home; (907) 398-6403 cell; haeg@alaska.net; and Facebook

Certificate of Service: I certify that on February 24, 2020 a copy of the forgoing was ~~served by mail~~ hand-delivered to: AAG Soderstrom. By:

Everyone please forward this on to all possible, by any means. It may be published and/or reproduced freely anywhere. If you want to donate money to help stop this corruption, we set up a PayPal account linked to our email (haeg@alaska.net) and cell (907) 398-6403.

Further details on the how this corruption works, who is involved, and the laws they are breaking, can be found in my Opening and Reply briefs in the box "2019 and 2020 Important Information" at www.alaskastateofcorruption.com

Please seek out grand jury service (when you get a jury notice you may request grand jury service) and if selected, present the evidence above to your fellow grand jurors and ask they investigate. You have a legal right to do so even in the face of opposition from the Department of Law or judges. Ask your legislators to call for an independent and public grand jury investigation. Information on the power of Alaska's grand jury is best found in the **Alaska Grand Jury Handbook** (Alaska Court System form **J-185** – pages 24-28) and "**The Investigative Grand Jury in Alaska**" – and reading pages 9-24. You can find this report on the internet – just google it.

Please follow this on Facebook page "*Alaska, State of Corruption*" and group "*Stop Alaska's Judicial Corruption*". Most physical evidence proving the corruption is on the website "*www.alaskastateofcorruption.com*", YouTube, and Facebook in "#1 EVIDENCE PACKET", "#2 EVIDENCE PACKET", etc., etc.